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**Abstract**

Harmful Algal Blooms (HABs), events that cause fish kills and create human health problems by poisoning seafood and contaminating water supplies, have increased in frequency, magnitude and impacts around the world. From 2017 to early 2019, blooms of the toxic dinoflagellate *Karenia brevis* swept over the West Florida coast, resulting in thousands of tons of dead fish, deaths to many other marine organisms, numerous respiratory-related hospitalizations, and hundreds of millions of dollars in economic damage. Machine learning algorithms, including Support Vector Machine (SVM), including a Relevance Vector Machine (RVM) modification of SVM, Naïve Bayes classifier (NB) and Artificial Neural Network (ANN) algorithms, applying wind, temperature, streamflow, nutrient, and satellite altimetry data were developed to calculate the probability of *K. brevis* blooms. Comparing the 20-year monitoring data set of abundance of this dinoflagellate using all algorithms, SVM was found to have the highest accuracy in bloom prediction, 62%. This model was then used to show that northerly winds increase *K. brevis* probability and that once in coastal waters, large river flows supply the nutrients that fuelblooms, while westerly winds prevent blooms from dispersing offshore. These findings highlight that not only are reductions in both N and P necessary to reduce blooms, but reductions from multiple rivers are more effective than reductions from a single river.

Highlights

* Machine learning algorithms had high accuracy in predicting *Karenia brevis* blooms
* Algorithms accounted for wind temperature, streamflow, and nutrient conditions
* Northerly winds increase bloom probability; westerly winds support blooms inshore
* Riverine nutrients from multiple rivers will be required to reduce blooms

Graphical abstract



**1.0 Introduction**

Harmful algal blooms (HABs) have been increasing globally, with more HABs, more often in new and different places, often lasting longer and having a wide range of environmental impacts and toxicities (e.g., Anderson, 1989; Hallegraeff, 1993; Glibert and Burkholder, 2018). Both nutrient pollution and climate change are now recognized to play important roles in this expansion (Anderson, 2002; Heisler et al., 2008; Fu et al., 2012; Wells et al., 2015; Glibert and Burford, 2017; Glibert, 2019a).

Blooms of the toxic dinoflagellate *Karenia brevis* occur almost annually on the West Florida Shelf and historical accounts show that they have occurred since at least the 16th century (Steidinger, 2009). However, recent analyses suggest that bloom events have increased 15-fold from the 1950s to 1990s (Brand and Compton, 2007). From 2017-2019, southwest Florida experienced an unusually prolonged (18 months) *K. brevis* bloom. At its maximum, this bloom covered a region about the length of the state of New Jersey, more than 250 km of coastline, encompassing recreational beaches and numerous commercial and recreational shellfish beds (Fig. 1; Glibert, 2019b). With Florida’s continuing population growth, more people are exposed to *K. brevis* and its toxins than in earlier years and the prolonged duration of recent blooms is increasing the period of exposure (Heil et al., 2014).

While *K. brevis* is typically thought of as a coastal bloom species, blooms are actually initiated offshore and then transported to coastal waters where they flourish and persist for months in nutrient-rich waters (Steidinger, 2009). Upwelling transports *K. brevis* cells to the coast (Weisberg and He, 2003; Liu and Weisberg, 2012; Mayer et al., 2017), but strong upwelling over the shelf break may actually suppress *K. brevis* blooms or favor competing taxa such as diatoms (Weisberg et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2016). The nutrient sources, pathways and processes supporting and maintaining *K. brevis* blooms include not only upwelling, but also riverine nutrient inputs that bring wastewater effluent and agricultural runoff. Other nutrient sources include benthic nutrient fluxes, atmospheric deposition, nutrients released by other phytoplankton and decaying fish from fish kills, submarine groundwater discharge, and mixotrophic grazing, suggesting complex environmental interactions of this important driver (Hu et al., 2006; Vargo et al., 2008; Vargo, 2009; Lenes et al., 2008; Glibert et al., 2009; Heil et al., 2014; O’Neil and Heil, 2014).

The massive bloom of 2017-2019, as appears to have been the case during the large-scale bloom in 2005, was clearly propelled by unusual events. Hu et al. (2006) suggested that nutrient inputs resulting from a series of hurricanes in southwest Florida in 2004 were linked with the severity of the 2005 bloom. Hurricanes can accelerate the yield of new sources of land-based nutrients from high riverine flow. Similarly, Hurricanes Irma (2017), Michael (2018) and Tropical Storm Gordon (2018) are suspected of contributing to the severity of the recent *K. brevis* bloom (Glibert, 2019b). Moreover, unrelenting wet weather through 2018, combined with increased discharges from Lake Okeechobee (necessary to prevent flooding) that enhanced the nutrient load of the Caloosahatchee River, added additional nutrients to coastal waters, sustaining large *K. brevis* blooms through early 2019.

There is a strong need to advance predictions of *K. brevis*, and other HABs more generally, but there are many challenges in modeling discrete HAB species (Glibert et al., 2010; McGillicuddy et al., 2010; Anderson, 2014; Franks, 2018; Flynn and McGillicuddy, 2018). There are several types of models in operational use for *K. brevis* (Weisberg and He, 2003; Walsh et al., 2003; Stump et al., 2009). An operational forecasting system, maintained by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, provides 3-5 day outlooks of blooms, using satellite remote sensing of chlorophyll *a*, in-situ sampling, and wind buoy data (Stump et al., 2003). The main goal of these forecasts is to inform managers and the public in coastal areas where public health may be compromised (Stump et al., 2009). However, modeling longer-term trends has been limited. In this research, we use machine learning algorithms to predict *K. brevis* on the West Florida Shelf over a twenty-year period using discharge, nutrient, weather, and sea surface data. Specifically, we examined if we could assess (1) how wind direction and strength affect the frequency of *K. brevis* blooms on the West Florida Shelf, and (2) how discharge from different rivers, with differing nutrient loads, fuels *K. brevis*.

**2.0 Methods**

***2.1 The data set***

***2.1.1* Karenia brevis *cell densities***

To develop the models, *in-situ* data of *K. brevis* cell densities over a twenty-year period (1998-2018) on the West Florida Shelf were obtained from the database of the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (<https://myfwc.com/>). These data represent samples collected during regular monitoring along the Florida coast and during suspected or confirmed *K. brevis* events. The data used herein were limited to samples collected between latitudes of 25.8454 degrees (Marco Island) and 29.1386 degrees (Mouth of Suwanee River) and at most 9 km from the coast.

In order to overcome the spatial and temporal inconsistency in the data, the 5 highest cell counts across the spatial gradient were averaged for each week to produce a weekly mean. Cell densities > 105 cells L-1 were counted as *K. brevis* events. The weekly mean values were discretized into a binary variable.

***2.1.2 Physical data***

Streamflow data were obtained from United States Geological Survey (USGS) stations in major rivers that discharge onto the West Florida Shelf (<https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis>**).** The USGS stations used included: Tampa Bay (USGS 2306647), Peace River (USGS 2296750), Lake Okeechobee (USGS 2274325), Suwanee River (USGS 2323500), Withlacoochee River (USGS 2319000), Hillsborough River (USGS 2303330), Little Manatee River (USGS 2300500), Myakka River (USGS 2298830) and Caloosahatchee Canal (USGS 2292000). Nutrient data from the major rivers were downloaded from the Tampa Bay and Charlotte Harbor Water Atlas ([http://www.wateratlas.usf.edu/](https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis;http:/www.wateratlas.usf.edu/)) and were combined with USGS streamflow data to estimate total nitrogen (TN) and total phosphorus (TP) loads.

Wind and temperature data were obtained from the National Data Buoy Center (NDBC) stations (<https://www.ndbc.noaa.gov/>;Fig. 1) over West Florida Shelf. Weekly averages of wind speed were calculated with a simple vector average (https://www.ndbc.noaa.gov/wndav.shtml). Satellite altimetry, obtained from the E.U. Copernicus Marine Service Information (<http://marine.copernicus.eu/>), was used to calculate the difference in sea surface height at two locations to quantify the strength of the deep-sea coastal upwelling caused by the Loop Current (Maze et al., 2015).

***2.2 Machine Learning Algorithms***

Three different machine learning algorithms were used to hindcast *K. brevis* cell density and to test the strength of various explanatory variables. Data were aggregated into a form usable by the machine learning algorithms (see Section 3.0); each row of the dataset is , where are the explanatory variables of discharge, nutrient concentration, wind speed and direction, temperature, and sea surface height, and is the dependent variable of discretized *K. brevis* cell densities. Machine learning algorithms aim to map to.

Open-source R packages were used (Stone, 1974; Geisser, 1975; Burman et al., 1994; Cawley and Talbot, 2004; Anguita et al., 2009; Bergmeir and Benitz, 2012; R Core Team, 2017).

***2.3 Evaluating the Models’ Predictions***

The predictive skills of the machine learning algorithms were evaluated using a *k*-fold cross-validation approach (*k*=10 in our study), an approach widely used in machine learning classification problems (Anguita et al., 2009; Cawley and Talbot, 2004). In *k*-fold cross validation, the data are randomly subdivided into *k* disjointed subsets of equal size. Then, for each different combination of *k*-1 of *k* subsets, one of *k* models are trained, and the test statistic for that model is evaluated on the remaining subset (Stone, 1974; Geisser, 1975). The mean of the test statistics over all *k* models is called the cross-validation estimate of the test statistic. This method uses the entire dataset in training and testing.

Time series data change over time, invalidating the underlying assumption inherent in cross-validation that the data be independent if the time series data are randomly assigned during cross-validation (Bergmeir and Benitex, 2012; Roberts 2017; Burman et al., 1994; Racine 2000). Thus, the data herein were divided by chronological order into 10 subsets of 2-years each: 1998-1999, 2000-2001… 2017-2018 (Bergmeir and Benitex, 2012; Roberts 2017). In one iteration of the cross-validation procedure, the models were trained on the data from 1998-2016 and then tested on data from 2017-2018. This procedure is repeated for all the 2-year blocks. Accuracy of prediction during weeks with a *K. brevis* bloom, accuracy of prediction during weeks without a bloom, and the total accuracy were used as metrics to evaluate the model performance. The testing metrics were averaged over all the ten models. Since the number of HAB events was significantly smaller than the number of events without HABs, the minority class of the training data was oversampled such that the sample size of events with and without HABs are roughly equal in the synthetic training dataset (Fernandez et al., 2018). To further test the models’ predictions, a time series of the cross-validation predictions was created.

***2.4 Platt Scaling Analysis***

Machine learning classifiers were used to determine the factors that affect *K. brevis* blooms and each of their significance. First, SVM was trained on the entire dataset. Platt scaling (e.g., Platt 1999) was used calculate the probability of *K. brevis* bloom (Eq. 1):

where is a sample, is one of the classes, is the SVM output, and A and B are scalar constants (Roberts, 2017). Platt scaling uses a logistic transformation to convert classifier predictions into probability distributions over the classes. Line plots and contour diagrams of HAB probability as a function of explanatory variables were created by varying one or two explanatory variables at a time and setting the rest to the annual mean. Using this approach, the effects of wind speed and direction, riverine discharge, and nutrient loading on *K. brevis* probability were calculated.

Open-source R packages were used (R Core Team, 2017; Hijmans, 2017; Karatzoglou, 2004; Schnute, 2017; Pebesma, 2005; Calaway, 2017; Fritsch, 2019; Meyer, 2019).

**3.0 Theory/Calculations**

***3.1 Support Vector Machine***

The Support Vector Machine (SVM) model is a supervised machine learning algorithm that seeks the hyperplane (Eq. 2) that best separates two labeled classes from each other. It does this by maximizing the width of the gap between the two data clouds (Eq. 3; Fig. 2a).

 (2)

 (3)

Sometimes the SVM cannot achieve a perfect separation. The soft-margin loss formulation allows some data points to lie within the margin of tolerance but penalizes them in the cost function (Cortes and Vapnik, 1995) according to Eq. 4 as follows,

(4)

where . This Cost Function is subject to a few constraints (Eq. 5).

(5)

Lagrangian multipliers are used to integrate these constraints into the cost function. The cost function is then optimized, yielding the linear support vector expansion for the classifier (Eq. 6):

(6)

This is the linear support vector expansion, where **w**is written as a linear combination of the training patterns. The constant *b* can be found with the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker Conditions (KKT; Vapnik, 1995).

The linear support vector expansion cannot be used to describe nonlinear relationships between the explanatory and dependent variables. To describe nonlinear datasets, kernel functions are used to map the data to higher dimensions where they exhibit linear patterns and the linear model can be applied in that feature space (Boser et al., 1992). The radial basis function was used because of its computational efficiency (Eq. 7).

(7)

SVM has one hyperparameter that cannot be determined from optimization, C in equation (1), which determines the balance between a good separation and flatness. To find the best value for the hyperparameter, C was varied logarithmically from 2-5 to 210. For each C, the cost function was optimized, and the SVM was tested on the training dataset. The C of the best-performing SVM was chosen.

Relevance Vector Machine (RVM) has an identical functional form to the SVM but uses Bayesian inference (Tipping 2001). Instead of minimizing a cost function, RVM maximizes the logarithm of the likelihood of the weights. To avoid the risk of overfitting and make use of prior estimates of the weights’ distribution (assumed to be Gaussian), the Bayes’ rule is used to compute the posterior weights’ distribution. It typically uses much fewer basis functions than SVM models. RVM was applied herein using the radial basis function was as the kernel function.

**3.2 Naïve Bayes**

The Naive Bayes (NB) is a simple probabilistic classifier based on the Bayes’ Rule and requires strong “naïve” independence between the features (Maron 1961, Hand and Yu 2001). It finds the class that maximizes , where **x** is a new observation, by using the probability distribution for each of the classes. To do this, it uses Bayes’s rule and calculates the likelihood as follows (Eq. 8,9):

(8)

(9)

An assumption of strong naive independence and the probabilistic chain rule are used to transform the likelihood of **x** into the probabilities of each of the features of **x** given a class (Eq. 10). For this study, the Gaussian NB was used, which assumes a Gaussian distribution underlies the sample distribution (Eq. 11).

(10)

with (11)

To train the Gaussian NB, the data were segmented by the classes, and the mean and standard deviation of each of the features for each of the classes were calculated, giving a probability distribution for each of the classes.

**3.3 Artificial Neural Network**

Artificial Neural Network (ANN) is based on the feedforward multilayer perceptron architecture, consisting of an input layer, one or more sets of hidden layers, and one output layer 5. ANN can be turned into a classifier by discretizing the network’s output. The basic substructure of Artificial Neural Network is perceptron (Fig. 2b). Each perceptron has an input (the outputs of the previous layer), a series of weights, a transfer function, and an output. A transfer function is applied to the dot product of the inputs and weights for each perceptron, giving an output for the next layer. The output for node j in layer l is shown below (Eq. 12):

 (12)

where y is the output, w are the weights, and is the activation function.

Initially, random numbers are assigned to synaptic weights. The synaptic weights are adjusted with the training data. There are two main steps to the training of the neural network: forward computation and back propagation. In forward propagation, input signals are propagated through the network, layer by layer. In back propagation, the error for the entire network is calculated. Then, the errors are computed for each neuron, and then the local gradients for the synaptic weights of the network are calculated (Eq. 13). Gradient descent is used to adjust the synaptic weights (Eq. 14). These steps are repeated until the error reaches below a desired threshold. Herein, two hidden layers with 20 and 10 neurons were used in the ANN model.

(13), (14)

**4.0 Results**

***4.1 Overall model performance***

The 3 different machine learning approaches, SVM, NB and ANN were applied and their predictability of the 20-year time series (1998-2018) were tested relative to the observed *K. brevis* cell concentrations along the West Florida Shelf. Using the validation procedure, the SVM approach performed the best (Fig. 3a; Table 1). It was 38% accurate in predicting weeks with blooms, 76% accurate for weeks without blooms, and 62% accurate overall. The RVM modification of the SVM model had a near-identical accuracy overall, 61% (60%, 60% and 61%, respectively). The NB approach had the second-highest accuracy (61%; 47%; 52%, respectively), and the ANN approach performed the weakest of the 3 models, but nevertheless still performed reasonably well in predicting bloom occurrences (29%; 74%; 60%, respectively). The comparison of the time series with the Relevance Vector Machine (RVM) illustrates that both prolonged blooms with high cell counts–and periods of only a short duration with relatively low cell counts–are captured well by the model (Fig. 3a). Given the irregularity of blooms both temporally and spatially (Fig. 3b), and associated sampling that is dictated by the events and not by prescribed times and stations, the model is clearly robust in capturing such a diverse range of conditions.

***4.2 Role of wind speed***

Having established that SVM was the most robust model approach, it was used to examine the probability of *K. brevis* blooms as a function of wind speed components in the north-south direction (negative for northerly wind) and the east-west direction (negative for easterly wind). To do so, the wind components were varied 1-2 standard deviations above and below the long-term mean while holding other factors constant. Bloom probability was much higher under northerly winds than under southerly winds (Fig. 4a). Bloom probability reached a maximum of 38% under northerly wind, while strong southerly wind reduced bloom probability to <20%. Northerly winds drive coastal upwelling, thereby transporting *K. brevis* from the offshore waters to coastal waters. Additionally, coastal upwelling delivers inorganic nutrients from the ocean that can help fuel the blooms. Strong, compared to weak, westerly winds increased bloom probability by 10%, from a low of 35% to a high of 45% (Fig. 4b). Once *K. brevis* reaches nearshore locations, westerly winds help hold *K. brevis* blooms against the shore where they can access nutrient sources from land and rivers.

***4.3 Role of river flow and associated nutrients***

The probability of *K. brevis* outbreaks as a function of discharge from the Suwanee, Hillsborough, Myakka, Peace and Caloosahatchee Rivers, all of which discharge into the Western Florida Shelf, was analyzed using SVM (Figs. 1, 5a-e). Discharge was varied by 1-2 standard deviations around the mean for each river. For the Caloosahatchee River across all discharge levels, the probability of *K. brevis* blooms was consistently high (39-42%) and increased linearly as river discharge increased. The Caloosahatchee River had the highest discharge of the rivers examined, and it transported the highest amount of nutrients. The slope in bloom probability with change in discharge was highest with the Hillsborough River, with low discharge yielding a 10% probability in blooms, increasing to 50% with high discharge. Increases in discharge from the Peace and Suwanee Rivers also increased bloom probability substantially, from 23-42% and 17-41% respectively, across the range of typical flows. Changes in discharge from the Myakka River yielded probabilities that changed from 27-39%. In addition, the shape of the relationship varied among the rivers. For the Peace and Myakka Rivers, the *K. brevis* blooms and discharge are tightly coupled only at low discharge rates. For the Suwanee and Hillsborough river, *K. brevis* probability as a function of the riverine discharge resembles a sigmoidal distribution. High discharge rates likely provide nutrient amounts that exceed the nutrient demand of *K. brevis* and thus further increases have little effect.

The composition of the nutrients discharged by the different rivers also varied. The probability of blooms for each river was calculated as a function of their TN and TP loads, and these increases had varied effects (Fig. 5 f-m). With increasing TN, the largest increases in bloom probability were found for the Hillsborough and Peace Rivers, whereas for the Myakka River, no significant increase in probability was seen as TN increased, and probability decreased in the Caloosahatchee River. For TP, however, increases in probability were seen for the Hillsborough and Caloosahatchee Rivers, but a parabolic relationship was noted for the Myakka and Peace Rivers. As nutrient loads increase, it is possible that *K. brevis* may be either outcompeted by a different species or and/or become limited by a different growth factor.

By comparing TN and TP discharge from different rivers, it can be seen that large reductions in both nutrients are needed to have a substantial impact on reducing the frequency of *K. brevis* blooms (Fig. 6). These comparisons, based on variations of 1-2 standard deviations from the mean (and setting other features to the mean), illustrate the magnitude of reductions necessary to reduce the probability of blooms from >60% to <20%.

**5.0 Discussion**

Blooms of *K. brevis* occur almost annually in the eastern Gulf of Mexico, typically initiating in early fall, but varying in intensity and duration. The bloom of 2017-2019 was among the largest and most expensive in recent history. It is thought to have caused the deaths of hundreds of tons of fish, hundreds of manatees, dolphin, and sea turtles, as well as many reports of hospitalization visits due to respiratory distress (e.g., Munoz, 2019). Fisheries closures, as well as revenue lost by local businesses, also had massive economic impacts (Fears and Rozsa, 2018). Understanding the links between physical controls (upwelling, river flow), nutrient inputs and extreme weather events has been a high priority in order to make long-term predictions to protect environmental heath as well as human health.

Due to their powerful nonlinear modeling capability, machine learning methods are proving to be very helpful in predicting blooms and in understanding how various factors may modulate bloom strength. The ANN model approach was used to predict algal blooms in Hong Kong coastal waters (Lee et al., 2003) and to predict outbreaks of the dinoflagellate *Dinophysis acuminata* in southern Spain (Velo-Suarez and Gutierrez-Estrada, 2007). More recently, a neural network approach was used to predict presence/absence and abundance of the dinoflagellate *Karlodinium* and the diatom *Pseudo-nitzschia* in Alfacs Bay in the northwest Mediterranean Sea (Guallar et al., 2016), and SVM models were used to predict blooms in freshwater reservoirs (Xie et al., 2012).

Machine learning approaches have previously been used in predicting HABs in the Gulf of Mexico, but with different objectives. Liu and Weisberg (2012) used such approaches to demonstrate the role of deep-ocean forcing on the West Florida Shelf in major bloom occurrences. Weisberg et al. (2014) reported that the position of the Loop Current can affect blooms. When the Loop Current is in its southern position, it creates an upwelling of deep nutrients and fosters a diatom bloom that outcompetes any nascent *Karenia brevis* bloom. Liu et al. (2016) used Self-Organizing Maps to classify spatial patterns of the Sea Surface Height anomalies associated with the Loop Current and found no bloom developed when the Loop Current was in the southern position during 1998, 2002, 2009, 2010, 2013. Herein, the overall performance of the machine learning algorithms was not significantly affected by the sea level height difference that was used to represent the effective Loop Current. However, for 1998, 2002, 2009, 2010, and 2013, the model had a much higher false positive rate (38.1%, 51.4%, 22.0%, 51.9%, 55.2%) versus 37.8% for all years. This suggests other factors not considered in the explanatory variables may be needed to improve bloom prediction for those years.

Hill et al. (2019) used satellite remote sensing of chlorophyll from 2003 to 2018, as well as sea surface temperature and bathymetry, as inputs to a convolutional neural network (designed for spatial data) to predict the presence of a *K. brevis* event in the near future (2-8 days). They also used the technique of long short-term memory to process the sequential data. There are several differences between the methodology applied herein and the Hill et al. (2019) analysis. First, different explanatory variables were used. The Hill et al. (2019) study used satellite remote sensing chlorophyll as a proxy for *K. brevis*, whereas direct cell counts were used here. Second, they did not consider wind speed, river flow or nutrient loads. These approaches are all complementary and show the promise of machine learning approaches not only in modeling various aspects of *K. brevis* blooms, but HAB events more generally.

Although there have been debates about the extent to which anthropogenic nutrients fuel *K. brevis* blooms (e.g., Brand and Compton, 2007; Heil et al., 2014 and references therein), there is no doubt that Florida’s continuing population growth has accelerated eutrophication. The nutritional pathways and sources of nutrients supporting *K. brevis* blooms are complex (e.g., Vargo et al., 2008; Glibert et al., 2009; Heil et al., 2014; O’Neil and Heil, 2014), the fact that nutrient loads have increased is, in itself, an insufficient explanation for the expansion in *K. brevis* blooms. It takes the right nutrients at the right time to create conditions conducive for these blooms to form (Glibert and Burford, 2017). Changes in flow, such as that due to hurricanes or intensive wet weather, bring new nutrients that can help to support blooms. The statistical analysis by Maze et al. (2015) indicates that there are significant differences Peace and Caloosahatchee River flows between periods of large blooms and periods without blooms. The SVM machine learning algorithm used here illustrated strong relationships between river flow and blooms.

Florida, among many states and environmental protection agencies around the world, has established, or is working to establish, nutrient reduction targets to mitigate water quality problems in their water bodies (Zhao et al., 2016; Herrero et al., 2019). These findings highlight that not only are reductions in both N and P necessary to reduce blooms, but reductions from multiple rivers are more effective than reductions from a single river. These models can be helpful in exploring the most effective combinations of nutrient reductions. Since river drainage basins are large, a 10-20% increase in fall-winter rainfall will translate into increases in discharges of multiple rivers with their combined higher nutrient loads during the *K. brevis* bloom period. This implies that to control blooms through nutrient reductions, greater reductions will be required than under present day flow conditions.

Air temperature over the Eastern North America (including Florida) is expected to increase ~1.5 oC by 2050 and 3-4 oC by 2100 (relative to 2000), according to recent climate projections (IPCC, 2014). Additionally, rainfall over Florida is projected to decrease by 20-30% during the summer but will increase by 10-20% during the fall-winter, which is the season during which *K. brevis* blooms typically occur. This work underscores the important interactive roles of nutrient pollution and river flow in the increased frequency of *K. brevis* blooms in Florida. With climate change and the predicted increase in extreme precipitation events in a warming climate (Sillman et al., 2013a,b; Russo et al., 2014), it is expected that will likely be more frequent HABs in the future, in Florida and elsewhere, unless substantial reductions in TN and TP land-based use and loading in the major rivers is accomplished.
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**Table 1. Accuracy of the three machine learning approaches, as well as the RVM modification of SVM applied herein, as validated using** *k***-fold cross validation and block cross-validation.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | *K***-fold cross validation** | **Block cross-validation** |
| **SVM** | **HAB accuracy** | **0.63** | **0.38** |
| **Non-HAB acc.** | **0.85** | **0.76** |
| **Total accuracy** | **0.78** | **0.62** |
| **RVM** | **HAB accuracy** | **0.54** | **0.60** |
|  | **Non-HAB acc.** | **0.71** | **0.60** |
|  | **Total accuracy** | **0.66** | **0.61** |
| **Naïve Bayes (NB)** | **HAB accuracy** | **0.65** | **0.61** |
| **Non-HAB acc.** | **0.56** | **0.47** |
| **Total accuracy** | **0.59** | **0.52** |
| **ANN** | **HAB accuracy** | **0.42** | **0.29** |
| **Non-HAB acc.** | **0.76** | **0.74** |
| **Total accuracy** | **0.65** | **0.60** |

**Figure Legends**

**Fig. 1.** Map of Florida showing the region in red where *Karenia brevis* blooms were most intense in 2018-2019, and the rivers discharging into West Florida Shelf considered herein. The National Data Buoy Center stations from which wind and temperature data were acquired are also shown.

**Fig. 2.** Schematic diagram of *Karenia brevis* blooms on the West Florida Shelf. Northerly winds drive coastal upwelling that transports cells from offshore to coastal regions. Nutrients from agriculture and urban areas are carried by rivers and help fuel blooms. This nutrient delivery is accelerated by heavy precipitation events such as those from hurricanes.

**Fig. 3.** Comparison of Support Vector Machine output and observational data of *Karenia brevis.* (a) Time series of the observed (black line) and predicted (black dots) area-averaged *K. brevis* concentrations from 1998-2018. (b) Snapshots of the observed *K. brevis* distribution in selected months. The twenty-year timespan includes many years with blooms (2002, 2005, 2012, 2018) and without blooms (1998, 2010).

**Fig. 4.** Probability of *Karenia. brevis* as a function of wind speed and direction. Northerly wind generates the coastal upwelling that transports *K. brevis* from offshore regions to coastal waters, producing favorable conditions for growth. Once *K. brevis* reaches coastal waters, westerly wind keeps populations near the coast and prevents them from dispersing offshore.

**Fig. 5.** Probability of *Karenia brevis* as a function of riverine discharge (panels a-e), total nitrogen loading (TN; panels f-i) and total phosphorous loading (TP; panels j-m).

**Fig. 6.** Contour plots of *K. brevis* probability as a function of (a) Hillsborough and Peace River TN concentrations and of (b) Hillsborough and Caloosahatchee TP concentrations.